

JDI ADVISORY WORKGROUP MEETING




1:00pm ET
Friday, June 2, 2017

TODAY'S AGENDA


- Opening welcome, announcements, roll call, approval of minutes
- Brief update, SmartyStreets launch (slightly extended)
- The JDI Scoring Tool: process, feedback, adjustments
- Scoring Results: What do they tell us?
- Wrap up, next steps

SMARTYSTREETS LAUNCH
JUNE 1, 2017



LAUNCH SUMMARY

- Unlimited license has been purchased (with 3 year, 15% discount)
- Holding informational conference calls for the IIS Community
 - June 1 and June 8
 - Cover background and context, project selection pilot results, overview of Implementation Guidance, Partner Agreement, administrative steps to participate
- Continuing to operationalize communication plan
- Will be launching 3-6 month pilot peer user group
- Explore platform-specific implementation support for JDI
- Evaluation of use will be ongoing via AIRA and site reported data



LESSONS LEARNED, TO APPLY TO GOVERNANCE, FUTURE JDI

WHAT WORKED WELL?

- Price point, economies of scale
- Uniformity of centralized service
- Engagement and results of pilot sites
-
-
-
-

WHAT COULD BE IMPROVED?

- Timeline – next time we can move faster!
-
-
-
-
-

PROCESS: SCORING CANDIDATE PROJECTS

- 12 workgroup members scored four candidate projects
 - 10 used online tool
 - 1 used word version
 - 1 used both
- Scores raised questions about the projects and/or the process

RESULTS

The first three criteria are non-scoring; per instructions, if the response is “No”, the project does not qualify as a JDI project.

- All projects had some no responses in the non-scoring section:

Project	Priority for more than one IIS?	Initial Risks Identified, Manageable?	Expectations Documented?
Data Entry Service	66%	42%	58%
Data Quality Assurance Guidance/Tool	100%	58%	92%
Interjurisdictional Exchange Guidance	100%	75%	75%
Patient Matching Guidance/Tool	100%	58%	75%

WHAT DOES THIS TELL US?

Potential reasons:

- Project Summaries did not have enough information included to be adequately evaluated
 - In which case we need to further scope and document them
- Projects truly don't fit our definition of JDI
- Other?

JDI DEFINITION

“Joint Development and Implementation is any collaborative development or coordinated implementation of standards, business requirements, functional or system requirements, design specifications, or production of actual software tools or applications by two or more IIS/Awardees. Work under this initiative will extend to knowledge-sharing, joint decision-making, planning, and prioritization.”

RESULTS

Projects were ranked qualitatively (scores), and in summary rank (1 through 4).

Project	Qualitative Score	Qualitative Priority	Rank Average Score	Rank Priority
Data Entry Service	100	4	3.9	4
Data Quality Assurance Guidance/Tool	162	3	1.9	2
Interjurisdictional Exchange Guidance	166	2	2.5	3
Patient Matching Guidance/Tool	174	1	1.8	1

WRAP UP, NEXT STEPS

- Clarify path forward
- Next JDI Advisory Workgroup meeting is July 7th, 2017, 1pm ET