
JOINT DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION ADVISORY WORKGROUP

MONTHLY MEETING

MAY 5TH, 2017




AGENDA

- Opening Welcome
- Update:Address Cleansing Pilot Project
 - Operational Roll-Out Steps
 - Communication Small Group
- Project Discussions
- Wrap Up

BRIEF UPDATE: ADDRESS CLEANSING ROLL-OUT

- ❑ Great response to announcement about Address Cleansing at the AIRA 2017 National Meeting!
 - ❑ We have at least 28 sites who have voiced interest in connecting
- ❑ AIRA Staff are mapping out the process roll-out steps – awaiting word on Supplemental
- ❑ Planning two informational webinars
- ❑ Reviewing draft of Address Cleansing Implementation Guidance – coming soon to your inboxes!
- ❑ Thanks to Bill, Brittany, Mandy, Gerri and Noam for contributing to and reviewing the Communications Plan – questions on minutes?



What's Happening

New Address
Cleansing Service
Coming June 1st



AIRA will begin providing access to **address cleansing and geocoding services** to the full IIS community at **no cost** beginning June 1st. This data quality intervention is part of AIRA's broader **Joint Development and Implementation** initiative, and is a benefit of AIRA membership for IIS programs. Click [here](#) to



OUR NEXT PROJECT

DRAFT PROJECT SUMMARIES FOR DISCUSSION



PROJECT SUMMARIES TO REVIEW

- DQA as an Open Source Tool – Bill Brand
- Patient Matching Guidance/Tools – Michael Flynn
- Interjurisdictional Exchange – Mary Beth Kurilo
- Data Entry Service – Mary Beth Kurilo

WRAP-UP, NEXT STEPS

- Are we ready to score candidate projects?
- Discuss timeline
- Next meeting: June 2nd, 2017



Reviewer's Name: _____

Candidate Project: _____

Scorecard: Evaluation Criteria for Selection of a Joint Development and Implementation Project

April 1, 2016

Context: These criteria will be used to rate candidate projects for their suitability as a joint development and implementation project. Some of the criteria are mutually exclusive, so it is not anticipated that any single project will meet all of these criteria. Similarly, some criteria are aspirational, so projections will need to be made based on the perceived likelihood of an event occurring. These criteria focus on initial project selection; potential participating parties will need to do a secondary level of evaluation to determine benefits and risks prior to participation. Suggested evaluation criteria for potential participating parties can be found at the end of this scorecard.

Criterion	Origins	Core/ Secondary	Response	Scoring Instructions	Score	% of Total
The proposed project is seen as a priority by more than one IIS.	2014 JD Guidance	Core	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Non-scoring. If the response is no, the project does not qualify as a joint development project.	0	N/A
The initial project risks are identified and assessed to be manageable. These could	2014 JD Guidance (modified)	Core	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Non-scoring. If the response is no, the project does not qualify as a joint	0	N/A