

# IIS Joint Development and Implementation (JDI) Project Summary - Interjurisdictional Exchange, DRAFT V3.1

This summary outlines the basic information needed for a joint development and Implementation (JDI) project supported by AIRA and IIS programs, IIS vendors/implementers, and invested partners.

AIRA’s primary objective for the JDI strategy is to develop and implement collaborative projects and services. For these projects, AIRA will provide, at minimum, project management and administrative support as needed. Project management will include leadership to address additional resource needs as feasible. Further project funding and in-kind support will be provided by participating parties as dictated by the project selected.

<b>Basic Project Information</b>	
<b>Project Title</b>	Create a summary roadmap of and national strategy for implementation of IIS-IIS Interjurisdictional Exchange
<b>Project Type</b> <i>See project type list for definitions</i>	Operational and/or Technical Guidance: Crafting of requirements, recommendations, and reference materials for development and/or implementation
<b>Project Description and Output</b> <i>A brief overview of the project</i>	To review and synthesize the output of all interjurisdictional efforts past and current into an articulated national strategy. The resulting document would include guidance on technical, policy and programmatic aspects of IIS-IIS exchange; it would also would provide direction to IIS undertaking voluntary interjurisdictional activities and be fundamental for subsequent requests for funding and support at the national level.
<b>Background or Context</b> <i>A summary of the problem(s) that the project is trying to solve</i>	IIS Interjurisdictional Exchange is a growing priority across the IIS community. As the US population continues to become more mobile, there is a greater need to query and exchange information across jurisdictional lines. Many regional exchanges are already underway, either between neighboring jurisdictions (OR-WA) or between city-and-state jurisdictions (NYS-NYC). In addition, discussions are underway exploring the possibility of adding an IIS Functional Standard focused on interjurisdictional exchange.  Given these and other efforts, there is a need to summarize what is going on within the community now as well as articulate a direction for technical, policy and programmatic aspects of interjurisdictional exchange.
<b>Existing Standards or Guidance to Support the Project</b>	Network for PH Law’s 2014 Memo detailing issues related to interjurisdictional exchange, 2015 multi-state memorandum of understanding template.
<b>Existing Test Data or Testing Tools to Support the Project</b>	N/A

<p><b>Related Projects</b>  <i>Projects already in motion that may affect this project, and their anticipated impact</i></p>	<p>The ONC has a project focused on introducing a technical solution for Interjurisdictional Exchange – referred to as the Hub or PHIZ Project, the effort stood up and supports a “blind router” on the AIMS-APHL platform to allow for IIS-IIS exchange. The project is still in the pilot phase, and is not yet in production.</p> <p>The Joint Public Health Informatics (<a href="#">JPHIT</a>) Taskforce is also working on a project to explore overall public health interjurisdictional exchange. The group is first working on a white paper that may become the precursor to development of a uniform or model law to support interjurisdictional exchange. <a href="#">AIRA is actively participating on this project currently.</a></p>
<p><b>Potential Participating Parties</b></p>	<p>Multiple IIS Programs, Vendors</p>
<p><b>Potential Additional Stakeholders</b></p>	<p>ASTHO, Network for Public Health Law, ONC</p>
<p><b>Potential Platforms/Systems Benefiting from Project</b></p>	<p>All</p>
<p><b>Strengths of Selection as a JDI Project</b>  <i>A list of the reasons this project would be a stronger project if completed collaboratively rather than if completed by individual programs</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The basis of interjurisdictional exchange is collaboration; it will not work if various IIS toil in silos.</li> <li>• The development of a national strategy demonstrates consensus and underscores interjurisdictional exchange as a priority.</li> <li>• A national strategy is a strong and necessary foundation for the community to seek dedicated funding.</li> <li>• Interjurisdictional exchange is in the draft set of functional standards being circulated by CDC for 2018-2022.</li> <li>• Interjurisdictional exchange is a high priority for many providers/end users.</li> <li>• Network for Public Health Law and ASTHO have made contributions to this project that we can build on. They are also potential partners.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Challenges of Selection as a JDI Project</b>  <i>A list of the reasons this project would be a challenge as a collaborative project rather than if completed by individual programs</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A joint project between AIRA and ASTHO to support IIS-IIS exchange through a Community of Practice is already underway. Each project would need to communicate its work and avoid duplication of efforts.</li> <li>• <del>Related projects are already in motion.</del> Coordination with <del>them</del> <a href="#">will related projects may</a> complicate (and likely extend) this JDI project and its timeline.</li> <li>• IIS-IIS exchange may be a lower priority for IIS with more pressing issues.</li> <li>• <u>There is no recognized source of funding to support IIS-IIS exchange currently.</u></li> <li>• <a href="#">As scoped, this effort may not represent a true JDI project, so much as a strategy effort needed across the community.</a></li> </ul>
<p><b>Perceived Funding Needs</b>  <i>How resource-intensive is this project likely to be?</i></p>	<p>Moderate</p>
<p><b>Potential Resources Available</b>  <i>Including financial resources and in-kind support</i></p>	<p>Unknown</p>
<p><b>High-Level Project Risks</b>  <i>Including financial, legal, contractual, or other</i></p>	<p>Policy issues will affect the speed at which interjurisdictional exchange can be implemented.</p>

<p><b>Anticipated High-Level Timeline</b></p>	<p><u>Note: Some activities may run simultaneously -</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assess and summarize outputs from the ONC <u>Hub</u> and AIRA/ASTHO <u>Interjurisdictional</u> projects, their timelines and potential intersections with this project. (3 mos)</li> <li>Interview IIS that are undertaking interjurisdictional exchange <u>to document methods (including triggers, transport, etc.), and summarize current landscape of exchanges.</u> (3 mos)</li> <li>Interview other associations (e.g., NAPHSIS) who currently exchange data interjurisdictionally to better understand process and opportunities for collaboration.</li> <li>Draft document of current learnings, challenges and goals. Use these as framework to articulate <u>and document proposed</u> national strategy. (3 mos)</li> <li><u>Get Gather and incorporate</u> community input. (3 mos)</li> <li><u>Evaluate the process and outcome/impact of interjurisdictional exchange.</u> (6-12 months)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Anticipated Project Disposition</b> <i>Will project outputs be available to other IIS programs? Will there be costs or licensing fees?</i></p>	<p>Guidance would be broadly available <u>to all projects.</u></p>
<p><b>Perceived Need/Urgency</b> <i>Is this a high priority for the community?</i></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> High      X Medium      <input type="checkbox"/> Low</p>
<p><b>Viability as a Joint Development Project</b></p>	<p>X High      <input type="checkbox"/> Medium      <input type="checkbox"/> Low</p>
<p><b>Additional Information</b></p>	
<p><i>Use this space to provide any additional information about the project not addressed above.</i></p>	
<p> </p>	