


*WIR Joint Development User Group Survey
Summary Results
DRAFT 2/15/2015*

Responses: 17 organizations/jurisdictions, out of 21 (17/21 = 81%)

How many of the last three WIR Joint Development User Group calls/webinars has your organization attended?


Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
0	12.5%	2
1	6.3%	1
2	31.3%	5
3	50.0%	8
Unsure	0.0%	0
<i>answered question</i>		16
<i>skipped question</i>		1



It is helpful to hear from other users of the WIR application.

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
fully agree	87.5%	14
partially agree	12.5%	2
neutral	0.0%	0
partially disagree	0.0%	0
fully disagree	0.0%	0
<i>answered question</i>		16
<i>skipped question</i>		1


It is helpful to hear from other users of the WIR application.



It is helpful to hear from vendors/implementers who support the WIR system.


Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
fully agree	75.0%	12
partially agree	18.8%	3
neutral	0.0%	0
partially disagree	6.3%	1
fully disagree	0.0%	0
<i>answered question</i>		16
<i>skipped question</i>		1

It is helpful to hear from vendors/implementers who support the WIR system.



The calls will help users of the WIR application to coordinate joint development efforts.


Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
fully agree	56.3%	9
partially agree	25.0%	4
neutral	18.8%	3
partially disagree	0.0%	0
fully disagree	0.0%	0
<i>answered question</i>		16
<i>skipped question</i>		1



The frequency of calls (monthly) is reasonable for my organization's participation.

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
fully agree	50.0%	8
partially agree	43.8%	7
neutral	6.3%	1
partially disagree	0.0%	0
fully disagree	0.0%	0
<i>answered question</i>		16
<i>skipped question</i>		1


The frequency of calls (monthly) is reasonable for my organization's participation.



The calls are well-organized and facilitated efficiently.


Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
fully agree	81.3%	13
partially agree	12.5%	2
neutral	6.3%	1
partially disagree	0.0%	0
fully disagree	0.0%	0
<i>answered question</i>		16
<i>skipped question</i>		1

The calls are well-organized and facilitated efficiently.



My organization is supportive of co-facilitation between AIRA and a wardees.

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
fully agree	81.3%	13
partially agree	12.5%	2
neutral	6.3%	1
partially disagree	0.0%	0
fully disagree	0.0%	0
<i>answered question</i>		16
<i>skipped question</i>		1



Please rate your interest in including the following topics for future meetings:

Answer Options	Very interested	Somewhat interested	Not at all interested	Response Count
Updates from AIRA on broad Joint Development efforts and other strategic objectives	13	2	0	15
Presentations from outside presenters on topics of interest	11	3	0	14
Demonstrations of key functionality from user group members	15	0	0	15
Updates from CDC on their efforts to support Joint Development	11	4	0	15
Discussion of governance models from similar groups/communities	5	9	0	14

I would like to hear more about:

Having an AIRA-hosted web site that would support joint development efforts. A lot of time is spent on calls trying to discern who is working on what. Perhaps some of that information could be collected via a survey or web-based tool where programs could post information about recently completed initiatives/work in progress. That way I could reference the site to see materials from other programs (FDDs, screen shots, etc.) and/or reference the site to see who I could reach out to about certain initiatives.

updating to the latest version of HL7

CDC efforts/plans to facilitate JD

I think there are a lot of opportunities in 2015 for project to work together on joint development, particularly in recently awarded grant areas. It would be great to identify projects actively in work on current JD activities while we are also working through our procedures and get some regular updates on progress/lessons learned while work is happening throughout this year.

Clinical Decision Support and Data Quality

Examples / procedures for Joint Development activities OTHER than application (software) creation/modification.

I would like to hear less about:

No comment

Other Comments/Suggestions:

Would like to suggest that sub-groups be implemented-- I think more could get done if the right people were at the table for each discussion. Right now the calls cover a little of governance and a little about specific development work. Suggest that an overarching group tackles governance and hears high-level overviews of joint development efforts/strategic objectives and that sub-groups convene to discuss specific initiatives: e.g., HL7 2.5.1 r 1.5, forecasting improvements, VTrckS related work, etc. The topic-based subgroups could have SMEs from the vendors and AIRA could help organize/coordinate. I think this type of approach may accelerate progress and actual "joint development" work.

cookies

Live demonstrations of key functionality areas would be great. Perhaps too setting up some separate Webinars for interested users on topics of interest/presentations would be helpful as I don't think people know what is out there. Additionally, asking probing questions on projects, a lot of times we get canned answers on areas they are updating (i.e. RunMatch, Forecaster, etc) but it really doesn't highlight what they are changing and what is driving the change. This leads to some misconceptions in the group as to both what they are doing and why. Finally, I think additionally information needs to be provided for the projects on the LCW agreement and defining for the group the procedures around sharing documents, code, and demonstrations. Additionally, clarity on when projects need to engage Wisconsin, in particular for something they uniquely developed.